UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,629	12/12/2001	Wilhelm Rademacher	50061	9694
26474 7590 08/27/2010 NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA + QUIGG LLP 300 NEW JERSEY AVENUE NW FIFTH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20001			EXAMINER	
			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/009,629	RADEMACHER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALTON N. PRYOR	1616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peric - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI- tute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ The strict This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ The strict This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the strict This action is accordance.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matter	-		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,9-12,14 and 15 is/are pendidal 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,9-12,14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by he drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date ormal Patent Application		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's arguments, see paper, filed 5/21/10, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6,7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard (USPN 6238673; 5/29/01). Howard teaches that grape extracts contain polyphenols including flavonoids (abstract, column 1 lines 12-20, column 2 lines 25-41). Howard does not teach that the polyphenols including the flavonoids increased as a result of treating the grapevine plant with the acylcyclohexanedione of formula I. However, Howard revealed that grape wine extracts inherently contain polyphenols including flavonoids. There are no data provided in the specification to show how much the polyphenols including flavonoids increased as a result of treating the plant with the acylcyclohexanedione of formula I. Note, claims are to compositions; therefore a statement to intended use recited therein has patentable significance as recited in claim 15.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 6,7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard (USPN 6099854; 8/8/00). Howard teaches that grape extracts contain polyphenols including flavonoids (abstract, column 1 lines 51-65, claims 1-3,10). Howard does not teach that the polyphenols including the flavonoids increased as a result of treating the grapevine plant with the acylcyclohexanedione of formula I. However, Howard revealed that grape wine extracts inherently contain polyphenols including flavonoids. There are no data provided in the specification to show how much the polyphenols including flavonoids increased as a result of treating the plant with the acylcyclohexanedione of formula I. Note, claims are to compositions; therefore a statement to intended use recited therein has patentable significance as recited in claim 15.

## Allowable Subject Matter

At the preappeal conferences it was decided that previous rejections of record be withdrawn and that claims 1-4, 9-12 and 14 be indicated allowable. The prior art does not teach instant method of increasing flavoniods and other phenolic constitutents wherein acylcyclohexanedione of formula I is applied to a grape or hop plant.

## Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALTON N. PRYOR whose telephone number is (571)272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/009,629 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alton N. Pryor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616